FILEIYO7 AUG 06 17:22USDC-ORP

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF OREGON

DANIEL MCSORLEY,	)
Plaintiff,	) Civil Case No. 06-1120-PK
VS.	ORDER
MICHAEL J. ASTRUE <sup>1</sup> , Commissioner of Social Security,	) ) )
Defendant.	) ) )
Merrill Schneider Schneider Law Offices 14415 S.E. Stark	
P.O. Box 16310	

Portland, Oregon 97292

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>On February 12, 2007, Michael J. Astrue became the Commissioner of Social Security. Pursuant to Rule 25(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Michael J. Astrue should be substituted, therefore, for Commissioner Linda S. McMahon as the defendant in this suit. No further action need be taken to continue this suit by reason of the last sentence of section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

Linda S. Ziskin 3 Monroe Parkway, Suite P Lake Oswego, Oregon 97035

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Karin J. Immergut
United States Attorney
District of Oregon
Neil J. Evans
United States Attorney's Office
1000 S.W. Third Avenue, Suite 600
Portland, Oregon 97204

Leisa A. Wolf Social Security Administration Office of General Counsel 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 M/S 901 Seattle, Washington 98104

Attorneys for Defendant

KING, Judge:

The Honorable Paul Papak, United States Magistrate Judge, filed Findings and Recommendation on July 10, 2007. The matter is before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). No objections have been timely filed. This relieves me of my obligation to give the factual findings de novo review. Lorin Corp. v. Goto & Co., Ltd., 700 F.2nd 1202, 1206 (8th Cir. 1983); See also Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the legal principles de novo, I find no error.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>I have confirmed that the absence of text on page nine of the Findings and Recommendation is merely a formatting error, and no part of the analysis is missing.

Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Papak's Findings and Recommendation (#22). IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the case is remanded pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further administrative proceedings. Judgment will be entered.

Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of August, 2007.

Garr M. King
United States District Judge